	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/265,606	ZIMMERMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Norman Hanson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 20		·
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Anijone a Nova		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Hanson that the specific range of amino acids recited in amended claim 1 did not appear to be supported by the originally filed specification, and suggested an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Hanson disagreed with the examiner about whether support was present in the instant specification, but agreed to the examiner's amendment.